

OPEN MEETING ITEM

U-11 OM

RECEIVED
AZ COLP COMP. Page

<u>MEMORANDUM</u>

Jan 2 3 17 PH 198

0000

TO

THE COMMISSION

FROM

Utilities Division

DATE

January 2, 1998

RE

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF TRICO ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC. - FILING FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME IN WHICH TO FILE ITS UNBUNDLED SERVICE TARIFFS (DOCKET NO. E-01461A-97-0696)

On December 9, 1907, Trico Electric Cooperative, Inc. submitted a request for extension of time in which to file its unbundled tariffs as required by A A C. R14-2-1606 C

Staff has reviewed he request for extension. Affected utilities have known since December 26, 1996, that the subject filing(s) were due at the end of 1997. There are twelve affected utilities which include Arizona's investor-owned utilities as well as Arizona's electric cooperatives. The Retail Electric Competition Rules, A.A.C., R14-2-1601 et seq., contemplate the need for a substantial amount of time to evaluate and conduct proceedings on a variety of filings of affected utilities prior to the start of retail electric competition on January 1, 1999. The need for adequate time is the reason why the rules require a December 31, 1997, filing date

Because all affected utilities will be filing unbundled tariffs, customer selection plans, system benefits charge calculations, stranded cost calculations, and possibly standard offer tariffs, it is imperative that all affected utilities are strictly held to filing schedules

Failure to allow adequate time for proper evaluation, adequate public notice, and a reasonable review process could have an impact on the start of retail electric competition in Arizona. Requiring prompt filing of required items would allow Staff, as well as other intervenors, adequate time for thoughtful and prudent review and processing of all filings

If the Arizona Corporation Commission (Commission) were to grant the waivers as requested by one-half of the twelve affected utilities, the result would require the Commission to delay review of certain retail electric competition filings until later in 1998, precipitating scheduling difficulties

DOCKETED

JAN 0 2 1998

DOCKETED BY

JA

THE COMMISSION January 2, 1998 Page 2

Staff recommends that the waiver request be denied and that the applicant be ordered to provide the required filing immediately. Further, Staff recommends that if the filing is not made by January 30, 1998, that the Commission direct Staff to evaluate the circumstances behind the failure to file and make recommendations for specific sanctions to be imposed on the applicant if it believes that sanctions are warranted

David P Jankofsky Assistant Director

Utilities Division

DPJ.BG.clw/CCK

ORIGINATOR. Robert Grav

3

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

JIM IRVIN
Commissioner - Chairman
RENZ D. JENNINGS
Commissioner
CARL J. KUNASEK
Commissioner

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION)
OF TRICO ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE)
INC. - FILING FOR AN EXTENSION OF)
TIME IN WHICH TO FILE ITS)
UNBUNDLED SERVICE TARIFFS)

DOCKET NO. E-01461A-97-0696

DECISION NO._

ORDER

Open Meeting January 13 and 14, 1998 Phoenix, Arizona

BY THE COMMISSION:

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. On December 9, 1997, Trico Electric Cooperative, Inc. (Trico) submitted a request for extension of time in which to file its unbundled tariffs as required by A.A.C. R14-2-1606.C. Staff has reviewed the request for extension.
- 2. Affected utilities have known since December 26, 1996, that the subject filing(s) were due at the end of 1997. There are twelve affected utilities, which include Arizona's investor-owned utilities as well as Arizona's electric cooperatives.
- 3. The Retail Electric Competition Rules, A.A.C. R14-2-1601 et seq.. contemplate the need for a substantial amount of time to evaluate and conduct proceedings on a variety of filings of affected utilities prior to the start of retail electric competition on January 1, 1999. The need for adequate time is the reason why the rules require a December 31, 1997, filing date.
- 4. Because all affected utilities will be filing unbundled tariffs, customer selection plans, system benefits charge calculations, stranded cost calculations, and possibly standard offer tariffs, it is imperative that all affected utilities are strictly held to filing schedules.

27

28

2

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Failure to allow adequate time for proper evaluation, adequate public notice, and a reasonable review process could have an impact on the start of retail electric competition in Arizona.
- 6. Requiring prompt filing of required items would allow Staff, as well as other intervenors, adequate time for thoughtful and prudent review and processing of all filings.
- 7. If the Arizona Corporation Commission (Commission) were to grant the waivers as requested by one-half of the twelve affected utilities, the result would require the Commission to delay review of certain retail electric competition filings until later in 1998, precipitating scheduling difficulties.
- 8. Staff has recommended that the request for a waiver from the Rule be denied and that the applicant be ordered to provide the required filing immediately.
- 9. Furthe: Staff has recommended that if the filing is not made by January 30, 1998, that the Commission direct Staff to evaluate the circumstances behind the failure to file and make recommendations for specific sanctions to be imposed on the applicant if it believes that sanctions are warranted.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. Trico is an Arizona public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV. Section 2, of the Arizona Constitution.
- 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over Trico and over the subject matter of this docket.
- 3. Staff's recommendations contained in Findings of Fact Nos. 8 and 9 are reasonable and should be adopted.

ORDER

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that the request for a waiver is denied.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Trico Electric Cooperative, Inc., shall file its unbundled tariffs immediately.

27

26

28

Decision No.____

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the filing is not made by January 30, 1998. Staff shall evaluate the circumstances behind the failure to file and make recommendations for specific sanctions to be imposed on the applicant if it believes that sanctions are warranted.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.

BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION		
COMMISSIONER - CHAIRMAN	COMMISSIONER	COMMISSIONE
	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, Secretary of the Arizona Corpo hereunto, set my hand and caus Commission to be affixed at the	ration Commission, ha ed the official seal of the
	Phoenix, thisday of	
•		
	JACK ROSE	
	Executive Secretary	
	•	
DISSENT	no Ricch Waynessen	
DPJ:BG:clw/CCK		
•	,	
·	Decisio	ın No.